REMARKS:

Claims 25-34, 36 and 37

Claims 25-34, 36 and 37 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsu (US 2002/0191349).

Claim 25 has been amended to further clarify that the first and second section are continuous. As shown in FIGS. 4C and 4D of the present application, the first section 430 is continuous with the second section 432.

In sharp contrast, the rejection relies on two separate coil structures to meet the limitations of claim 25. Because Hsu fails to disclose this limitation, claim 25 is believed to be allowable. Reconsideration and allowance of claim 25 is respectfully requested.

Claims 26-34 depend from claim 25 and are therefore also believed to be allowable.

Claims 36 and 37 have been amended to include similar limitations as claim 25, namely that the first and second section are continuous. Again, Hsu fails to disclose this limitation.

Claim 35

Claim 35 has been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatenable over Hsu in view of Hsaio et al (US 6570739).

Because claim 25 as amended is believed to be allowable over Hsu, and Hsaio has merely been added to show additional features, claim 35 is also believed to be allowable. Reconsideration and allowance of claim 35 is respectfully requested.

In the event a telephone conversation would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner may reach the undersigned at (408) 971-2573. For payment of any additional fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, the Commissioner

7/27/05

is authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-2587 (Order No. HSJ9-2003-0045US1).

Respectfully submitted,

By: _______ Dominic M. Kotab

Reg. No. 42,762

Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. Box 721120

San Jose, California 95172-1120

Telephone: (408) 971-2573 Facsimile: (408) 971-4660